



# **Head Reconstruction from Internet Photos**

Shu Liang, Linda G. Shapiro, Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman University of Washington, Computer Science & Engineering



### **1. Pose-cluster-based reconstruction**





### **Output: 3D Model of the Head**





Key contribution: head modeling from uncalibrated data is possible.

### **Results**

Pose	-90	-60	-30	0	30	60	90
Bush	185	62	118	371	113	80	191
Putin	131	58	151	413	121	61	151
Oharraa	СГ	Γ1	120	201	177	ГГ	75



2. Photometric Stereo<sup>[3]</sup> on frontal pose cluster ( $D_0$ )

Clinton	115	47	114	332	109	61	66	
		a 🖵		222	100	64	66	
Obama	05	21	120	204	<b>1</b> //	55	15	

Number of Photos we used in each pose cluster



Reconstruction Quality vs. Number of Photos



Space Carving<sup>[5]</sup>

#### Limitations

We have shown the first results of head
reconstructions from Internet photos, but:
1) Lambertian model doesn't capture hair well. We
also haven't worked on reconstructing the details.
This model could be combined with template based
models.

2) Fiducials for side views were labeled manually.3) We have not reconstructed a complete model; the top of the head is missing. To solve this we would need to add photos with different elevation angles.

Frontal view photos to n x p matrix Q.

n: number of photos, p: number of pixels of the facial mask. Rank-4 PCA is computed to factorize into lighting and normals: Q = LN, with ambiguity, Q=LA<sup>-1</sup>AN. Resolve the Generalized Bas-Relief (GBR) ambiguity using a template 3D face of a different individual, i.e.,

 $\min_{A} ||N_{\text{template}} - AN_{face}||^2$ 

The surface normals are integrated to create  $D_0$  by solving linear equations that satisfy gradient constraints:

 $n_{z}(D_{x+1,y} - D_{x,y}) = n_{x}$   $n_{z}(D_{x,y+1} - D_{x,y}) = n_{y}$  $n_{y}(D_{x,y} - D_{x+1,y}) = n_{x}(D_{x,y} - D_{x,y+1})$ 

This generates a sparse 2p x 2p matrix M, and we can solve for:  $argmin_{D} ||MD - v||^{2}$ 

## **3. Boundary-Value Growing**



#### Input for FaceGen



FaceGen<sup>[4]</sup>

Visualization of the reprojection error for 3 methods.

<b>Reprojection error</b>	FaceGen	Space Carving	Our method			
Bush	20.6±3.80	19.6±3.55	$18.3 \pm 4.04$			
Putin	20.1±4.	$17.2 \pm 4.68$	$15.1 \pm 5.06$			
Obama	$21.5 \pm 4.62$	20.7±4.58	$19.7 \pm 4.40$			
Reprojection errors for 3 methods. (averaged over 600 photos)						

#### Our Result Acknowledge

We thank Samsung, Google, and NSF/Intel grant #1538613 for funding this research.

#### References

[1] Zheng, S., Jayasumana, S., Romera-Paredes, B., Vineet, V., Su, Z., Du, D., Huang, C., Torr, P.H.: Conditional random fields as recurrent neural networks. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. (2015) 1529–1537
[2] Kemelmacher-Shlizerman, I., Seitz, S.M.: Collection flow. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on, IEEE (2012) 1792-1799

[3] Basri, R., Jacobs, D., Kemelmacher, I.:
Photometric stereo with general, unknown lighting.
International Journal of Computer Vision 72(3)
(2007) 239-257

[4] FaceGen: http://facegen.com/[5] Kutulakos, K.N., Seitz, S.M.: A theory of shape by space carving. International Journal of Computer Vision 38(3) (2000) 199–218

dataset is released on our webpage: http://grail.cs.washington.edu/projects/headfromphotos/